x\212 1

ITEM NO.301 & 303

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.2370 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For appln. for accepting of Report of Expert Committee)

AND I.A.No.2601 and 2202-2203 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (For permission)

AND I.A.NO.2619-2621 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (For impleadment, directions and exemption from filing O.T)

AND I.A.No.2660-2661 in I.A.972 in I.A.757 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (For directions, impleadment, stay exemption from filing O.T. And recommendation of CEC)

AND I.A.No.2702 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (For permission)

AND I.A.NO.2670 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (Report of CEC in Appln. NO.986-A)

AND I.A.No.2671 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995 (Report of CEC in Appln. no.986-B)

AND

SLP(C) NO.26329/2008

(With appln. for permission to file SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report $\ensuremath{\text{c}}$

WITH

I.A.NOS.2348-2349 in WP(C) No.202/1995
(For impleadment, directions)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.)

2

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. P.K. Manohar, Adv.

For Petitioner(s)/

Applicant(s)/

Respondent(s): Mr. Haris Beeran, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, Adv.

IA 2601 IN 2202-03

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.

Mr. L.R. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.

Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv. Ms. Shagun Matta, Adv.

Mr. H.P. Raval, ASG

Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv., Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

IA 2660-61

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Meera Mathur, Adv.

Mr. V. Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Adv.

M/s. S.K. Jain, Puneet Jain Archana Tiwari, E. Barua, Advs.

For Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.

IAs 2670 & 2671

Mr. U.N. Bhachawat, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. Anup Jain, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Baid, Adv.

Mr. M.A. Krishnamoorthy, Adv.

SLP(C) 26329/08

Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Harshna Mehra, Adv. Mr. Balraj Diwan, Adv.

IA 2348-49

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Ms. Mamta Saxena, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Nand Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following $\mbox{O}\mbox{ R}\mbox{ D}\mbox{ E}\mbox{ R}$

-

I.A.No.2370 in WP(C)No.202/1995 & SLP(C) NO.26329/2008

The High Court of Himachal Pradesh passed an order on 28.5.2008 in regard to felling of trees on private lands which came within the definition of forest land. The order was challenged both by the land owners and contractors on the one side and the State Government on the other. In view of the controversy, we referred the matter to the CEC. The CEC after considering the relevant issues in detail has filed a report. The recommendations and suggestions made by the CEC are acceptable to all the parties before us.

The CEC has recommended that the order passed by this Court on 8.5.2009 in I.A.

2370 of 2008 should be extended to the felling of Khair trees on privately owned non-forest areas also subject to the following conditions:-

- no felling of trees, including of Khair trees, from the forest area will be permissible in violation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 12.12.1996;
 - ii)no deviation from the ten-year felling programme fixed by the Forest Department in accordance with the provisions of the Land Preservation Act, 1978 will be permissible;
 - iii)no Katha/ Kutch manufacturing unit will be
 permitted to be established/ allowed to operate
 or to expand its capacity in violation of the
 Hon'ble supreme Court orders dated
 29/30.10.2002".

As noted above, the conditions suggested by the CEC are acceptable to all the parties. All the parties shall abide by the above conditions and shall also follow the directions issued by this Court on 12.12.1996.

This order is in continuation of the earlier order passed by this Court on 8.5.2009.

4

The present order is confined in application to the State of Himachal Pradesh.

- I.A. and SLP are disposed of accordingly.
- I.A.No.2601 and 2202-2203 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995

Referred to the CEC.

I.A.NO.2619-2621 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995

Adjourned by four weeks.

I.A.No.2660-2661 in I.A.972 in I.A.757 with 789-790, 962, 986, 1042-1045, 1217-1219 and 1536-1538 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995

List after four weeks.

MoEF to file response in the meantime.

I.A.No.2702 in W.P.(C)No.202/1995

Referred to CEC for comments.

List after four weeks.

I.A.No.2670 in W.P. (C) No.202/1995

The Ranakpur Jain Temple Complex, under the management of a trust, occupies an area of 9.81 hectares that falls within a much

notified larger area that is reserved forest and also as as Wild Life Sanctuary. The Kumbalgarh trust managing the temple the Court for a direction to the concerned complex approached authorities to exclude the temple area from the reserved forest and the wild life sanctuary. The Court referred the matter to the CEC. The CEC has examined all the relevant issues in detail and has recommended that the trust be allowed only permissive possession over the area of the temple complex, subject to certain conditions.

All the recommendations made by the CEC and the conditions stipulated by it are acceptable to the applicant- Trust. A copy of the CEC report was also given to the State but no petition has been filed on its behalf raising any objection to the recommendations/

conditions made by the CEC.

As recommended by the CEC the Trust may have permissive

possession of 9.81 hectares of forest land over which the temple

complex stands subject to the conditions stipulated in the \mathtt{CEC}

report dated 12.8.2009.

The trust shall maintain the temple complex. The trust shall also ensure that there should not be any unlawful trespass over the forest area and no tree is cut down from the forest area. Ιn

addition, the trust shall abide by all the restrictions imposed by the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972.

The I.A. is disposed of accordingly.

I.A.No.2671 in W.P.(C) No.202/1995

This application is filed by Sheth Anandji Kalyanji Trust

seeking the deletion of the entire area of Shri Muchhala Mahavir

Temple Complex from the reserved forest as well as from Kumbalgarh

Sanctuary within which the Temple Complex is located. le The Temp

Complex stands over an area of 3.28 hectares and it is located

within the reserved forest/ sanctuary as established by the

notifications dated 4.3.1950, 13.7.1971 and Collector Pali's order

dated 21.8.1998 and is part of Kumbalgarh Sanctuary.

(Conservation) Act, 1980 as well as the Wild Life (Protection) Act,

1972 are applicable to the area. The matter was referred to the CEC

which has examined all the relevant issues and has made its

recommendations as follows:

'Considering that the Temple Complex has been in existence for a very long period and that too before the forest laws came into existence, that it cannot be translocated and that the complex is occupied, managed and maintained by the Trust much before it was declared as Reserved Forest or Sanctuary it will be in the fitness of thing if the applicant Trust is allowed to continue to occupy, 3.28 manage and maintain the entire Temple Complex measuring hectares in the Reserved Forest/Sanctuary within which the Applicant Trust may have "permissible possession" without excluding it from the boundary of the Reserved Forest/ Kumbalgarh Sanctuary. The repair and maintenance of the complex may be done by the Temple authorities but any new construction should be carried out by them only after obtaining the approval under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and in accordance with the provisions of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. On special occasions the pilgrims may temporarily be allowed to use the area outside the 3.28 ha. Temple Complex as in the past but without erecting any building/structure. The Rajasthan Forest Department should prescribe suitable guidelines for this purpose. The Rajasthan Forest Department is agreeable to the above proposed arrangements.'

The recommendations are fully acceptable to the applicant-Trust.

The recommendations the Court accepts the and conditions stipulated by the CEC. The Trust shall approach the Rajasthan suitable Forest guidelines for Department for laying down the pilgrims and visitors to access the Temple Complex causing least adverse impact on the surrounding forest area and the wild life. It is once again made clear that the trust shall neither make any new construction nor cut any tree in the forest area. I.A. is disposed

of accordingly.

I.A.NOS.2348-2349 in WP(C) No.202/1995

Adjourned by two weeks.

7

(R.K. Dhawan)(Madhu Saxena)Court MasterCourt Master

ITEM NO.MM-I COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.Nos. 2733-34/09 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.)

8

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)/

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\rm Applicant(s)/} & {\rm Mr. \ Ranjit \ Kumar, \ Sr. \ Adv.} \\ {\rm Respondent(s):} & {\rm Mr. \ Ayush \ Agrawal, \ Adv.} \end{array}$

For M/s. Suresh A. Shroff & Co., Advs.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

List on 13.11.2009.

(R.K. Dhawan) (Madhu Saxena) Court Master Court Master

(Mentioning slip is enclosed)

ITEM NO.MM-J COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 2333-34 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)/

9

Applicant(s)/ Mr. M.L. Lahoty

Respondent(s)

Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

List on 4.12.2009.

(R.K. Dhawan) (Madhu Saxena)
Court Master Court Master

(Mentioning slip is enclosed)

ITEM NO.MM-K COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 2678 in I.A.2362-63 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)/

Applicant(s)/ Mr. Sudarshan Rajan. Adv.

Respondent(s):

10

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

List on 6.11.2009.

(R.K. Dhawan)(Madhu Saxena)Court MasterCourt Master

(Mentioning slip is enclosed)

ITEM NO.MM-L COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 2722,2723,2724 & 2725/09 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.)
Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)/

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

List on 18.12.2009.

(R.K. Dhawan)
Court Master

(Madhu Saxena) Court Master

(Mentioning slip is enclosed) (Shown in the list of 4.12.09)

ITEM NO.MM-M

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 2735/09 in WP(C)No.202/1995

T.N.GODAVARMAN THIRUMALPAD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/10/2009 These Applns./Petitions were mentioned today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv. (A.C.)
Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)/

Applicant(s)/

Court Master

Respondent(s): Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

List on 13.11.2009.

(R.K. Dhawan) (Madhu Saxena)

Court Master

(Mentioning slip is enclosed)